A guide to anthropology in Brazil *

Mariza Peirano

For a long time anthropology was defined by the exoticism of its object of study and by the distance, conceived as cultural and geographical, which separated the researcher from his/her group. This situation has changed. Even (and perhaps mostly) in the socially legitimate centers of anthropological production, the ideal of an encounter with some sort of radical alterity is no longerconsidered an essential dimension of the anthropological perspective. Anthropology is not about an object, it is about difference.

Of course, this viewpoint has been present in the international scene since the 1960s, but it would not surface easily in the minds of anthropologists. Despite the fact that anthropology's interest had shifted from far away (the Trobrianders, the Azande, Kwakiutl, Bororo) to less exotic places (the Mediterranean countries, for example), and then to close-by settings and groups, when it really did reach "home"in some quarters it turned itself to an array of *studies* (cultural studies, science studies, feminist studies and so on).²

In this context, by presenting the case of anthropology in Brazil I intend to indicate how difference may involve a plurality of notions which can be either chronological or simultaneous. In Brazil, though exoticism has never been an issue in itself, some dimension of alterity has and continues to be a basic trait of anthropology. Briefly, a notion of otherness involving indigenous peoples and their contact with the regional

^{*} A shorter and revised version of this text will appear as a chapter in *Companion to Latin American Anthropology*, Deborah A. Poole (ed.), Blackwell, 2005. I am thankful both to Deborah Poole and to Blackwell for permission to make this longer version public.

¹ See Lévi-Strauss (1961), for the disappearance of primitive peoples and the realization that anthropology would survive exactly because it was not interested in a concrete object, but in the *difference* between peoples.

² See Peirano (1998).

population dominated the scene up until the 1960s; in the following decades, these studies coexisted with "softer" alterities in which anthropologists turned their attention to the peasantry and then to urban contexts until, more recently, during the 1980s, their concerns began to include social scientists' intellectual careers and production. Otherness has thus shifted from a concept of distant to minimal alterity, many anthropologists having developed interests in several "alterities" over the course of their academic career. The result has been a steady incorporation of new topics and an enlargement of the discipline's research universe. Today, all these modes of conceiving alterity (indigenous peoples, urban population, peasantry, social scientists themselves and so on) live together in a pluralistic way.

The Brazilian example reveals that, though exoticism is the sociogenetic foundation of anthropology, for anthropologists themselves difference can assume a plurality of notions. While in canonical terms it was radical to the point of (ideally) being foreign, when acculturated in other latitudes alterity has often translated into relative rather than exotic differences. Whether near or far, these differences can be cultural, social, economic, political, religious, territorial. In other words, the process that in the metropolitan centers took a century to develop — that is, bringing the discipline home from abroad — in Brazil took no more than three decades. Even though there are of course intellectual and/or empirical priorities as well as trends (theoretical or regarding objects/subjects), there are no real restrictions in relation to this multiplicity of alterities.

This relative freedom is related to many factors, and I shall raise a few of them. First, that Brazil (or South America, for that matter) has never experienced any historical resentment for having been the object of anthropological curiosity by the metropolitan centers (as was the case in the first half of the century with Melanesia, South and Southeast Asia, and Africa). Second, sociologists have been the main interlocutors for anthropologists — and not archeologists, physical anthropologists or linguists. If neighboring disciplines (be they models or rivals) must always be considered in order to focus a specific field of knowledge, then permanent dialogue with sociology and political science has been the case. In Brazil, anthropology is one of the socialsciences. Third, indigenous peoples — the presumed prototype of a

³ See, for instance, DaMatta (1970, 1976, 1980).

⁴ A similar phenomenon takes place in India, where professionals seen as anthropologists abroad, at home are seen as sociologists.

radical alterity — were researched within the boundaries of the national territory. This situation reveals less a problem of financial resources — although this needs to be considered — than the choice of an object of study which includes, or is mixed with, a concern over difference. A last point to mention is the dominant influence of a French/Durkheimian perspective (over a German one, for instance), in which different ways of conceiving society stand side by side, thus playing down any strict interests in peculiarities or singularities. (The exhilaration which Lévi-Strauss produced in Brazil in the 1960s may be explained by this situation.)

Given this general context, this article centers on (but is not restricted to) the last three to four decades, when anthropology gained legitimacy and became a prestigious field of socialinquiry in Brazil. Because it emerged as a kind of rib to sociology — a feminine agency, for that matter —, it also inherited sociology's basic tension — that of combining theoretical excellence with social commitment. All this has to do with the institutionalization of the social sciences back in the 1930s, an Enlightenment project to help forge a political elite to govern the country and create a "national" ideal. Since then, this external dialogue with sociology has been internalized in the discipline as a dichotomy between indigenous ethnology "made in Brazil" and anthropological research *about Brazil*. Today we may say that an anthropology made in/about Brazil is a general goal.⁵

Exoticism and ideal types:

The case of Brazil

From the perspective of the classic concern about taboos, exoticism is a distant and remote alterity which also admits a sort of fascination. In other words, rather than delineating a forbidden territory, it calls for scrutiny. But alterity as *difference* or as *exoticism* diverge: while exoticism always implies some sort of difference, not every difference is exotic. This is basic Durkheim. In the first case, political dimensions are intrinsic to its very existence. In the latter, politics are beyond, far away or in any case separate. One more aspect is that the emphasis on difference is inherently comparative, whereas the emphasis on exoticism does not require contrasts.

⁵ In conformity with the native conception, in this text I refer to anthropology as a discipline.

Since exoticism was the sociogenetic trait of anthropology, I will take it as the relevant element in relation to which examples can be measured. The aim is to focus on how it was acculturated in Brazil by means of a shift in emphasis towards difference. I identify four ideal types, in the Weberian sense: (i) radical alterity, (ii) contact with alterity, (iii) nearby alterity and (iv) minimum alterity. These types are not mutually exclusive and, as mentioned, throughout their academic careers anthropologists move back and forth among and within them. In chronological terms, a certain sequence can be noted: the research project of radical alterity preceded the study of contact of regional with indigenous populations. In turn, this interest was followed by research carried out at home, especially in urban contexts. Today, sociological production itself has become an anthropological problem. Inthe past decade, the trend to transpose national boundaries (but in a different mode from orthodox anthropologists) has been not only accepted but praised. I will look closer at these cases although I will not make exhaustive citations. Some authors and works will be cited just in order to indicate different themes and approaches. I apologize to my colleagues in advance for omissions and absences.

Radical alterity

The search for a rigorous sort of alterity can be illustrated in Brazil by two forms of geographical and ideological distancing. First, in the classic study of indigenous populations; second, in the more recent project of going beyond the country's own territorial limits. In neither case, however, compared to a central or "international anthropology" (as per Gerholm & Hannerz 1982), is alterity extreme (though it may be argued that indigenous peoples represented the "available exoticism" and that studying abroad is what anthropologists should do).

Let me begin by looking at the study of indigenous peoples. Today apprentices in the field can detect some dichotomies: Tupi or Jê, social organization or cosmology; Amazonia and Central Brazil or Xingu; history or ethnography; political economy or descriptive cosmology (Viveiros de Castro 1995b). As with any dichotomy, the empirical options are far greater. But in this context, research on the Tupi, having practically disappeared from ethnology in Brazil during the 1960s (see Laraia 1964, 1986), has made a return in the past two decades (Viveiros de Castro 1986, 1992, T. Lima 1995, Fausto 1997, 2001; see also Muller 1990, Magalhães 1994). At the same time, research on indigenous peoples has provoked a systematic interest in kinship systems: though a classic area of anthropology, in Brazil's local scene it was

considered a novelty (Viveiros de Castro 1995a,1995b, 1998, 2001; Viveiros de Castro & Fausto 1993, Villaça 1992, Gonçalves 1993, Teixeira Pinto 1993, 1997).

Before the 1980s, the Jê was the most studied group in Brazil. Following the classic works of Nimuendaju (for example, 1946), the Jê caught the attention of Lévi-Strauss (1952, 1956, 1960) and, shortly thereafter, of the Harvard-Central Brazil Project (Maybury-Lewis 1967, 1979a, 1979b). In a short time, the results of this ambitious research project became the main support for structuralist Ph.D. dissertations. This field experience was central for a whole generation of anthropologists who spent their careers in Brazil (see, for example, DaMatta 1970, 1976; Melatti 1970a, 1978). In the following decades, research on the Jê continued, although the question of its hegemony was no longer an issue: see, for example, Vidal (1977), Carneiro de Cunha (1978), Seeger (1980, 1981), Lopes da Silva (1986), Lea (1992, 1995), among others. (For the ethnology of Xingu music see Seeger 1987, Menezes Bastos 1993, 1995, 1999.)

This brief overview confirms that research has been consistently carried out in Brazilian territory. The specialists, however, do not say they are studying "Brazilian Indians"; for them the relevant fact is that these indigenous groups are *situated* in Brazil as a matter of chance. There are though political and ideological implications deriving from this location — anthropologists are often called to participate in the demarcation of Indian lands, for instance. But even if the main motivation for research is not exoticism but rather the (social, cultural, cosmological) difference between social groups, this line of research best corresponds to the traditional concerns of anthropology. It follows that it is within this area of study that debates with the "international" community are most frequent (see the debate between Brazilian and French ethnologists in Viveiros de Castro 1993, 1994, and Copet-Rougier & Héritier-Augé 1993). (See also Viveiros de Castro 2002, 2003). The question thus remains: is *our* difference *others*' exoticism?

⁶ David Maybury-Lewis recalls: "By 1960 I had defended my D.Phil. Thesis on the Xavante at Oxford and read L-S papers (1952 and 1956). These both fascinated and puzzled me. Fascinated, because of the subtlety of arguments, and puzzled because of the ethnographic and theoretical objections that I felt I could raise to L-S' theses. So I published a critique of them in the *Bijdragen* in 1960, which was sent to L-S who replied in the same issue of the journal in 1960 [Maybury-Lewis 1960, Lévi-Strauss 1960]. So, by the time the Harvard-Central Brazil Project was launched it was based on a desire to follow up and clarify Nimuendaju and an ongoing argument with L-S"

(Maybury-Lewis, personal communication).

⁷ There are several books about Indians of Brazil (Melatti 1970b, Laraia 1993). See also Carneiro da Cunha (1992), Fausto (2000).

⁸ Being considered the classic field of anthropology, specialists have access to a large body of

Then there is a second case of radical alterity. In this situation, otherness is basically geographical but not historically distant. In fact, though Brazilian anthropologists are increasingly breaking with the common practice of conducting fieldwork within the country's borders, an ideological bond to Brazil remains the rule. This happens in two ways: first, following Brazilians abroad and, second, looking at populations who were once colonial subjects of Portugal. Let us see both. The first tendency leads us straight to the United States, which has acquired a social value of paradigmatic alterity for comparative purposes. This practice builds upon the classic study about racial prejudice by Oracy Nogueira (1986), but also includes analyses of hierarchy and individualism by DaMatta (1973a, 1980). Later developments are, for example, L. Cardoso de Oliveira (1989, 1996, 2002) and R. K. Lima (1985, 1991, 1995a, 1995b, 1995c). In this context, the emerging topic of studying Brazilian and Portuguese immigrants to the US confirms the bond with a sort of "Brazilianess" (see G. Ribeiro 2000; Bianco 1992, 1993, 2001; Guran 1999).

A second direction leads us to Portugal's former colonies and to the ethnographic interest they inspire. Fry (1991, 1995a, 1999, 2002, in press) compares colonial experiences in the matter of color and race in Brazil, the United States, Mozambique and Zimbabwe. Trajano Filho (1993a, 1993b, 1998, 2003) examines the national projects for a *Creole* society, with reference to Guiné-Bissau and to São Tomé e Príncipe. In a similar mode, but this time in the Cape Verde Islands, Dias (2000, 2002, 2004) focuses on family relations, language and power in the process of nation-building; Lobo (2001) looks at the environment as part of the self-image of the people and of the nation; and Rego (2001) deals with the Cape Verde's "reinvention". Thomaz (2001, 2002) examines critically the experience of colonialism and the Portuguese "third empire," thus indicating the new awareness of the deeprooted connection between Brazil and Portugal. In this vein, anthropology originating in Portugal has also instigated novel interests, as indicated by congresses and conferences in the two countries (see Almeida 1996, Bastos 1996, Cabral 1996),

literature on South American ethnology. It traces back to the German expeditions of the 19th century seeking answers in Brazil to European questions about the state of the nature of primitive groups (Baldus 1954, Schaden 1954b) and continues onward to more recent generations, such as the works of Nimuendaju about the social organization of the Jê, or research in the 1930s about the Tupi (for example, Baldus 1970, Wagley and Galvão 1949, Wagley 1977), as well as the works of Darcy & Berta Ribeiro about the Urubu-Kaapor (Ribeiro & Ribeiro 1957), of Florestan Fernandes concerning the reconstruction of Tupinambá social organization, and the social function of Tupinambá war (Fernandes 1963, 1970). For a reference to Guarani culture, see Schaden (1954a).

⁹ See G. Velho (1995) for a survey that includes studies from the 1950s to the 1990s.

revealing again the historical, linguistic, and ideological links. On dialogues between Portuguese and Brazilian scholars, see Bastos et al. (2002), with several many shared topics as, for instance, J. Montero (2002) and Seyferth (2002). See G. Velho (1999) for a dialogue of Portuguese and Brazilian scholars on thesubject of urban anthropology, and *Etnográfica* (2000) for several articles published by Brazilian anthropologists in Portugal. Of course, there are exceptions to the rule concerning direct links to Brazil. See, for instance G. Ribeiro (1991, 1994) for Argentina (but also G. Ribeiro & Figeiro 2002 on Argentinians and Brazilians), F.R. Ribeiro (1994) for South Africa, Fonseca (1986) and Eckert (1991, 2003) in France, Neiburg (2001) in Argentina, and Pinto (2002) in Syria.

A new trend may be detected in new concerns about inter and supra-national affairs: Góes Filho (2003) looks at the conferences and general assemblies in the United Nations as rituals in order to elucidate the route by which universal principles become established in that setting; Leite Lopes (2004) focus on the debates around the issue of the proliferation of nuclear plants in small towns and its relationship to universal environment concerns; Silva (2004) examines the role of the United Nations in East Timor state-building processes.

Contact with alterity

If radical alterity consisted of studies *about* indigenous groups, those looking at relations *with* indigenous groups are another type, which I call contact with alterity. Today, a considerable body of literature is beholden to indigenist concerns which were long discussed separately from mainstream ethnological monographs (for example, Baldus 1939, Schaden 1955). Contact itself became a legitimate academic topic during the 1950s and 1960s: after D. Ribeiro (1957, 1962) focused it on the issue of Indian integration, R. Cardoso de Oliveira (1963, 1978) adopted a perspective *from within* and crafted the notion of "inter-ethnic friction".

Inter-ethnic friction is considered a theoretical innovation by many. It appeared as part of a *bricolage* of indigenist concerns and sociological theory, revealing "a situation in which two groups are dialectically put together through their opposing

¹⁰ See Peirano 1981, chapter 4.

¹¹ For Darcy Ribeiro, the *indigenous problem* could not be grasped outside the framework of Brazilian society, since it only exists "where and when Indians and non-Indians enter into contact" (D. Ribeiro 1962: 136).

interests" (Cardoso de Oliveira 1963: 43). Inter-ethnic friction was proposed in a context where the theories of contact, both British (Malinowski) and American (Redfield, Linton and Herskovitz), had proven inadequate. The combination of an anthropological subject and a sociological inspiration (Florestan Fernandes and Balandier) resulted in a proposal which became fundamental in the consolidation of several MA and PhD programs. ¹²

In the 1960s, when the notion of inter-ethnic friction wasput forward, a structuralist-oriented project was also being developed in the same institutional space (Museu Nacional), curiously involving many of the same researchers (Laraia & DaMatta 1967, DaMatta 1976, Melatti 1967). The literature produced from these two projects focused, respectively, on inter-ethnic contact from a sociological orientation, and on indigenous social systems in a structuralist mode. Almost four decades later, it is possible to recognize that influence was exercised in both directions: in the short term Brazilian anthropologists became cognizant of structuralist approaches, and in the long run, former researchers from the Harvard-Central Brazil project disclosed their concerns with the problems of contact.

In the late 1970s the research project about contact received a new impulse. Oliveira Filho (1977, 1987, 1988, 1999a) expanded inter-ethnic concerns by reshaping them to include historical dimensions. A group of researchers followed suit and unfolded this thematic approach by discussing relations between indigenist and government policies, the demarcation of Indian lands, the role of the military and frontiers, the notion of territorialization and the two-way process that derives from it, the examination of "mixed Indians" in the Brazilian northeast and Indian rights (Oliveira Filho 1998, 1999b). Souza Lima (1995, 2002, 2003) refocuses some of these concerns by looking at research programs on "indigenism," described as a set of ideas related to the insertion of indigenous peoples into nation-state societies. The three volumes by Souza Lima & Barroso-Hoffoman (2002) look at several dimensions inherent to the association between anthropology and the state regarding indigenous policies. They discuss the regulation of Indian rights in Brazil, confronting the paradox that social policies often create and maintain social inequalities despite their discourse to the contrary. Contactsbetween Indians and the national society were the groundwork for what today is a significant research group,

¹² This fact is especially evident in the graduate programs of the Museu Nacional/Rio de Janeiro Federal University (UFRJ) and of the University of Brasilia. In both places Roberto Cardoso de Oliveira played a central institutional role.

which poses sociological, moral and ethical questions about the relationship between Indian populations and the nation-state that accommodates them. One sensitive nerve touched by the probing of these issues is the national myth about an integrated society derived from the "mixture of three races," and the role of the state as mediator. On ethnic rights and territoriality, see Arruti (2000, 2004).

Parallel to this front, Baines (1991) looks at relations between indigenous groups and the National Indian Foundation, with special focus on the Waimiri; Barretto (1997) searches for links between Indian lands and conservation units. For studies of indigenous legislation and the conditions of South American Indians, see Carneiro da Cunha (1992, 1993), Santos (1989). After a canonical trajectory in ethnology (Ramos 1972, 1978, 1979), the author developed an increasing concern with indigenism. Ramos (1995) evaluates Yanomami ethnography in a context of crisis, and Ramos (1998) does a study based on the idea that indigenism is for Brazil what orientalism is for "the West."

Here, I pause just to mention, without further elaboration, the anthropological study of peasants — a highly relevant field which deserves a study of its own. I only indicate that during the 1970s the concern with contact incorporated the theme of expanding frontiers. This in turn made topics such as internal colonialism, peasants and the development of capitalism legitimate anthropological concerns (O. Velho 1972, 1976). At the same time, studies about peasants gained an independent thematic status, involving both anthropologists and sociologists (for anthropologists, see Palmeira 1977, Sigaud 1980, Moura 1978, 1988, Seyferth 1985, 1999, K. Woortmann 1990, E Woortmann 1995, Scott 1991, 1992, Heredia 1989). To the degree that alterity shifted its *locus* from Indian groups to contact with Indians, and then to peasants, the path was somehow rounded up with the inclusion of the peripheries of big cities (for instance, Leite Lopes 1976).

Nearby alterity

Since the 1970s, anthropologists in Brazil have carried on research in large cities. Given that the teaching of anthropology is part of of the social sciences curriculum, it is common for anthropology to become a counterpoint to sociology. Under political authoritarianism of the 1960s, anthropology was seen by many as an alternative to (Marxist) challenges coming from sociology, in a more or less silent dialogue that has persisted ever since. The attraction to anthropology rested both on

its qualitative approach and on the promise of answers to understand both the country's diversity and its unity.

In the case of nearby otherness, the object of study has generally been chosen in close association with specific theoretical options. In Brazil, theory is not just an approach, but a political statement. To open up the possibility for research on sensitive urban topics, G. Velho pulled together, by way of a bricolage, the symbolic interactionism from the Chicago school of sociology, and 1960s' British social anthropology (Clyde Mitchell, Raymond Firth, E. Bott). Those topics included middle class lifestyles, cultural behaviors of psychism, drug consumption, violence, and politics. See, for example G. Velho (1981, 1986, 1994). In this context, Velho's pioneering fieldwork in urban anthropology in the early 1970s focused on a specific overpopulated building in the Rio de Janeiro neighborhood of Copacabana (G. Velho 1973).

Later, this line of research expanded into other areas, including poverty, the elderly, gender issues, prostitution, kinship and family, popular music. A central goal of this comprehensive project as a whole has been to reveal some urban values of Brazilian society. In this sense, this research project not only situated phenomena in the city, but it also sought to analyze conditions of sociability in metropoles. The production of this thematic line is voluminous and broad-ranging. See, for instance, Duarte (1986), Gaspar (1985), Lins de Barros (1989, 2000), Vianna (1999), Kuschnir (1998, 2000). For violence in the city, see the many articles in G. Velho & Alvito (1996) and the extensive work by Zaluar (for instance, 1985, 1993, 1994, 1999) and Zaluar & Oliveira (2002).

DaMatta (1973a, 1980) found in structuralism a legitimate theoretical approach with which to begin his research about Brazilian society. The horizontality that this perspective conferred to different societies allowed him to leap from his 1960s study on indigenous peoples to national society as a whole. Later on, he added Gilberto Freyre (a former student of Franz Boas) as a predecessor for the examination of a possible national *ethos*. DaMatta (1973a) may be considered the transition point, as he placed side by side a canonical structuralist analysis of an Apinajé myth, of a short story by Edgar Allan Poe and of Carnival as *communitas*. This line of research was later expanded in DaMatta (1984, 1985, 1991), by means of a dialogue with

¹³ Before, it had also been the Chicago school that inspired Florestan Fernandes, the founding father of the social sciences in Brazil, to "confront society," after having written his ethnographic studies on the Tupinambá Indians (Fernandes 1963, 1970).

Louis Dumont, in order to examine "what makes brazil, Brasil". See also DaMatta & Hess (1995) and DaMatta & Soárez (1999). 14

In this expansion towards urban topics, the relevance of researching at home was never seriously questioned. There was a brief discussion about the nature of fieldwork in general, in which DaMatta (1973b) proposed the idea of "anthropological blues" as a central dimension of ethnological research, and an exchange on the topic of familiarity in any situation (G. Velho 1978). The whole issue was solved by the 1980s. ¹⁵ For a contemporary discussion of fieldwork in urban contexts, see Velho & Kuschnir (2003).

Despite occasional rivalries between anthropology and sociology, regarding the study in urban settings, both disciplines have had a long association (Candido 1958). To mention only a few examples, for immigrants in Brazil see Azevedo (1994), Cardoso (1995), Seyferth (1990); for race relations, Borges Pereira (1967), Fry (1991), Carvalho (1992a), Segato (1986), Seyferth (2000); on gender, Grossi & Pedro (1998), Grossi (2003), Bruschini & Sorj (1994), Gregori (1993), Perlongher (1994); on religion, messianism or Afro-Brazilian cults, see R. Ribeiro (1978), Maggie (1975, 1992), Maggie & Rezende (2002), P. Montero (1985, 1999), Queiroz (1995), O. Velho (1995), Carvalho (1992b), Birman (1995), Giumbelli (2002); on popular festivities, see Magnani (1984), Sanchis (1983), Chaves (2003), Cavalcanti (1994), Silva (2001); for emphasis on Brazil as a nation-state, see DaMatta (1980), Oliven (1992, 1999). On crime and citizenship in São Paulo, see Caldeira (2000), and for family, gossip, and honour (Fonseca 2000).

For studies focused directly on politics from a native's perspective, see the more than thirty volumes of "Coleção Antropologia da Política" (Rio de Janeiro: Relume Dumará), which put together studies on various topics: Among them, Teixeira (1998), for instance, investigates honor among Congressmen; I. Barreira (1998) examines political campaigns; Bezerra (1999), social networks including public officials, ministers, governors and Congressmen; C. Barreira (1998), political crimes in the Northeast; Chaves (2002), the National March of Landless Workers;

¹⁴ Amongst Brazilian anthropologists, DaMatta is one of the most remarkable cases of shifting alterities in terms of the ideal types presented here (from "radical otherness," to "contact," followed by "nearby alterity".) But it is revealing that, already a well-known author on urban topics, DaMatta was uncertain about publishing his older Apinajé monograph in Portuguese for a Brazilian audience (DaMatta 1976: 7).

¹⁵ This debate was contemporaneous to the discussion of Indian anthropologists on the study "of one's own society" (Srinivas 1966, 1979; Béteille & Madan 1975).

Comerford (1999, 2003), the link between kinship, family and rural labor unions in the state of Minas Gerais; Borges (2004) develops an ethnography of the presence of the state in a shantytown near Brasilia. These books were the result of a research project preceded by Palmeira (1995), Palmeira & Heredia (1995), and Palmeira & Goldman (1996). Heredia, Teixeira & Barreira (2002) presentschapters on elections, and Palmeira & Barreira (2004) puts together contributions by the project's principal researchers.

Minimum alterity

As if to confirm that the social sciences in Brazil have a debt to Durkheim — for whom other forms of civilization should be looked at in order to explain what is near to us — since the 1980s anthropologists have launched a series of studies about themselves and their craft. For the most part, these studies aim at understanding science as a manifestation of modernity. Topics vary from historical contexts and biographies of social scientists — mostly in Brazil — to inquiries about classical sociological authors. See, for example, Castro Faria (1993, 2002), for a study on anthropology carried out in museums and universities; Corrêa (1982, 2003), for a historiography of the discipline in the country; Miceli (1989, 1995, 1999), for a broad and comparative project concerning the social sciences in the last quarter of the century. Goldman (1994) presents an intellectual biography of Lévy-Bruhl; Grynspan (1994) of Mosca and Pareto; see Neiburg (1997) for anthropology in Argentina. On the social sciences in São Paulo, see Peixoto (1998, 2000) for Lévi-Strauss, and for a study of the paulista Clima group, see Pontes (1998). For a comparison between Gilberto Freyre and Roger Bastide, see Peixoto (2000); on Gilberto Freyre and SérgioBuarque de Holanda, see Castro Santos (2003). The interest that Brazilian scholars manifest in educational issues is discussed in Bomeny (2001a), and for an examination of the career of anthropologist Darcy Ribeiro, see Bomeny (2001b). In Travassos (1997) we find a comparison between the dilemmas of modernization faced by Mário de Andrade in Brazil and Béla Bartok in Hungary, and for an inquiry on the relationship between scientists and the race question in Brazil, see Schwarcz (1996, 1999, 2001), and Maio (1996). For a comprehensive bibliography of anthropology developed in Brazil until the 1980s, see Melatti (1984).

A broad-based research project dealing with different national styles of anthropology was inaugurated in R. Cardoso de Oliveira & Ruben (1995). Conceived as an inquiry into "peripheral" anthropologies, it is inspired by the work of philosopher G. Gaston

Granger. Along this same line of research, for the comparative cases on ethnology in Australia, Brazil and Canada, see Baines (2002), on Argentina see Figoli (1995), see Ruben (1995) on Canada, and R. Cardoso de Oliveira (1995) on Catalonia.

Before that, in the late 1970s, I started a research project with the intent of analyzing the discipline from an anthropological perspective. Challenged by Dumont's proposal (1978), in which he submits that anthropology is defined by a hierarchy of values in which universalism encompasses holism, I examined the sort of anthropology developed in Brazil, having France and Germany as control cases (Peirano 1981). This study was followed by a comparison between Brazil and India — supposedly the hierarchical society par excellence — , resulting in the proposal for an "anthropology in the plural" (Peirano 1992). The triangular comparison between Brazil, India and the United States continued in Peirano (1991, 1998). The results of that research projectfocused on the discussion about the context in which anthropology develops, especially related to the political dimensions of nation-, state-, empire- and society-building.

The analysis of the relationship between social science and the national ideology was refined by Vilhena (1997), who examines the role of regional intellectuals in the 1950s and 1960s, and the struggle by folklorists to survive in an environment in which sociology was becoming hegemonic. A new and promising project on the relationship between anthropological perspectives and state-building processes is presented in L'Estoile et al. (2002). Psychoanalysis has also proved to be a fertile field of study for anthropology in Brazil. A dialogue within this field has developed into a solid research program; see Duarte (1989, 1990, 1996, 2000). Finally a collection of reflections about teaching anthropology in Brazil can be found in Bomeny et al. (1991), Pessanha & Villas Boas (1995); see also Viveiros de Castro (1995c), Duarte (1995), Montero (1995), Fry (1995b), Peirano (1995), Corrêa (1995), Sanchis (1995), Fonseca (1997), Niemeyer (1997), K. Woortmann (1997).

In sum: the studies in which alterity is found amongst social scientists generally focus on the Brazilian case, often with a comparative perspective in mind, but also on topics related to broad Western intellectual traditions. Since most of the publications are in Portuguese, the audience is limited. This scenario is enlarging with publications in English, but overall these are still a tiny minority. An important question thus arises concerning the audience for these studies. To what extent does it make sense to undertake comprehensive and exhaustive investigations if they have no immediate overseas audience? Or, put in another way, why entering into a

dialogue with the sources of scholarship if the desired debates do not occur due to the very language of enunciation? It seems that the link with the wider intellectual world — by means of inquiries about the works of recognized scholars — is sought for its illocutionary effect at home, as it is considered essentially "theoretical." Accustomed to the exotic gaze of investigators from abroad, the idea of "minimum alterity" thus hides a proposal of "maximum (theoretical) alterity" that remains incomplete at heart, since no feedback is generally offered.

Multiple interlocutors

If the Brazilian example reinforces the idea that categories of alterity are contextual for anthropologists themselves, it is necessary to turn, by way of comparison, to the consecrated traditions in order to remember that they never were totally radical: Africa was relatively *home* for the British when they transferred the notion of totality to the Tallensi, the Azande, the Ndembu, thus renouncing sociology in favor of a flourishing anthropology (Anderson 1968). Up until the mid-1950s the discipline was limited to the metropoles, but social recognition of structuralism during the 1960s produced an unexpected byproduct. If it is true that human practices are horizontal, it was possible to imagine both the emergence of "indigenous anthropologies" (Fahim 1982) along with the endorsement that "we are all natives" (Geertz 1983).

The center'sacceptance seems to have legitimated the many conferences held since then by, and/or for, "non-western" specialists (for example, Asad 1973), but the subject remained controversial. One example is Kuper (1994), which criticizes "nativist" manifestations of anthropology using the case of Greece. Denying that only natives can have a proper understanding of their own society, and equally that natives are the best judges (even censors) of ethnography, this sensible viewpoint is followed by a proposal for a "cosmopolitan anthropology" which would exclude not only curious foreigners, armchair voyeurs, but also the native community of specialists (social scientists, planners, intellectuals in general). For Kuper, anthropology is a social science allied to sociology and history, and should not be linked to political programs.

In Brazil, an alliance between anthropology and sociology has always been common practice, but the same does not hold for the exclusion of political viewpoints.

Actually, in different guises, political agendas have always been part of scientific

projects — indeed, in Brazil as elsewhere. In Brazil, efforts to achieve theoretical excellence rest on classical sociological authors, on critical dialogues with contemporary specialists (foreigners and local), and on the impact of new empirical evidence. In otherwords, in Brazil theoretical bricolage is the foundation for new intellectual lineages, with social commitment being pervasive. ¹⁶

One specific feature, however, is relevant here: foreign interlocutors *from the center* have been social scientists' fashionable preference. They have been chosen from several blends of Marxism since the 1960s, then Lévi-Strauss's structuralism, interpretativism Clifford Geertz's style, and more recently, Foucault and Derrida's postmodernism. For those who take it for granted that the center is where theory is (and vice-versa), parochialism simply is avoided by means of the immediacy of the empirical data. This may partially explain why there is not much ongoing exchange with peers from other Latin America countries (Mexico and Argentina are exceptions). Since the basic triangular dialogues in Brazil are with local social scientists in general, with native subjects (generally conceived as socially oppressed), and with Western traditions of scholarship in the discipline (where legitimate theory is supposed to best develop), it would be necessary a new effort to include other Latin American traditions into this configuration.¹⁷

Multiple alterities

The institutionalization of the social sciences as part of nation-building processes is a well-known phenomenon (Becker 1971, for France and the United States; Peirano 1981, O. Velho 1982, for Brazil; Saberwal 1982, for India), as is the paradox of the existence of a critical social science surviving the interests of the elite that created it. In these moments, the new social science is not specialized because the project of nation-building and state formation encompasses several academic disciplines. Alterity is rarely neutral and the interested aspects, in a Weberian sense, are in many cases explicit. Anthropology and sociology only break apart in a process which is at

¹⁶ See Peirano (2003) for a discussion of anthropological lineages.

¹⁷ In India, anthropologists seem to be acutely aware of their place of enunciation. Madan (1982) mentions two triangular connections: first, the relationship between insider research, outsider research, and the studied group, secondly, the relationship between researcher, the funding agency and the group studied. Das (1995) points out three kinds of dialogues in which Indian scholars find themselves: with Western traditions of scholarship in the discipline, with Indian social scientists in general, and with the native, whose voice is present both as information obtained in fieldwork and in the written texts of tradition.

once political, institutional and conceptual. Specializations are often needed when the process of nation-state building advances historically, a situaton in which triangular dialogues becomes visible — between anthropologists and sociologists of the same local community, metropolitan traditions of knowledge (past and present), and fieldworkers and their subjects.

During the 1930s in Brazil, the social sciences were adopted in order to provide a scientific approach to the project of a new nation. It was believed that social sciences would substitute the socio-literary essay which (more than philosophy or human sciences) had performed the task of reflecting on social issues. Thus, from the 1930s to the 1950s, sociology was understood as encompassing all social sciences. But an emerging "made-in-Brazil sociology", which combined theoretical demands with political concerns, was to become hegemonic during the following decades (Fernandes 1958). Meanwhile, ethnological studies of indigenous groups represented the canonical model for anthropology, but soon afterwards it adopted topics considered to be related to sociology. There was a fundamental difference between sociology and anthropology though: while problem-solving projects dominated sociology, the examination of social and/or cultural difference was the concern of anthropology. These differences, however, were to be found inside Brazil's own borders. Nowadays, even when anthropologists venture out of the country, the quest for some sort of "Brazilianess" is unavoidable (as attested by the studies of former Portuguese colonies or Brazilian immigrants).

Social sciences from Brazil were never part of the circuits dominated by the centers of intellectual production. Curiously, though, we still consider ourselves as legitimate interlocutors of recognized authors of the Western tradition. It seems that the isolation of the Portuguese language has an affinity with the (local) political role reserved for the social scientist. This affinity, first of all, justifies alterity's ideal types and strategies while, on the other hand, it spotlights a paradox: when we look for differences, we often find a supposed singularity (which is "Brazilian"). Apart from these puzzling aspects, however, the complex process of intellectual and political loyalties has over time contributed positively to the consolidation of an effective academic community. ¹⁸ On that note, I conclude this essay by pointing out to three aspects:

In terms of exoticism: For Brazilian anthropologists it has been difference, whether

¹⁸ In January 2005, there are 1,400 members of the Brazilian Anthropological Association (ABA).

social or cultural, and not exoticism, that has provided the focus of attention when they look for alterity. This characteristic perhaps explains why, as opposed to the places where exoticism is threatening to destroy the discipline or, at least, displace it, Brazilian anthropologists tend to share an optimistic perspective.

In political terms. Though the political dimension has always been present wherever social sciences develop, in Brazil it has been directed towards a specific type of ideal nation-state, in which differences should be respected and a (national) singularity sought out and revealed.

In theoretical terms. Conceived as part of the Western world but not speaking an international language, theoretical dimensions assume a critical role as the noble path to modernity. In Brazil, the political implications of social theory lead to a bricolage between specific objects of study and theoretical options. In recent years, the more successful attempts in the social sciences have come from adding up previous and valuable theoretical approaches with the empirical ethnographical situation at hand. In this context, there is room for a variety of approaches. Room first of all for pure mimetism, produced from a belief in being part of a homogenous world that does not exist. This situation leads to the acritical absorption of current foreign authors as a shortcut to the modern world. Second (as a variation on the first approach), there is room for a trivial practice whereby the data is ours but the theory is imported — the interlocution between empirical data and theory is abandoned, and data becomes the mere illustration of theory. There is a third, perhaps more rewarding option. It rests on the idea that anthropology (and the social sciences in general) develops better when expanding, redirecting and broadening previous questions, thus posing renewed problems and questions. In this case, anthropology defines itself as eternally surpassing itself — and in this sense partaking of the Weberian eternal youth ideal of the social sciences. This project does not deny political differences among intellectual communities, but rests on a sociological understanding of them. If it is correct to think that "a world culture of the times" develops by constant exchanges — out of the "centers" to the ideological peripheries and vice-versa — then the implicit promise is for theoretical and empirical dialogues surpassing boundaries toward "plural universalisms" to take root. In this context, where one lives — in Brazil or elsewhere — is an important but not the only factor at play. Anthropology is one and many: while there is a living anthropology in Brazil, there is not of necessity a "Brazilian anthropology."

References Cited

Almeida, Miguel Vale

1996 - Marialvismo: a moral discourse in the Portuguese transition to modernity. *Série Antropologia* 184. Brasília: Universidade de Brasília.

Anderson, Perry

1968 - The components of a national culture. *New Left Review* 50: 3-57.

Arruti, José Maurício

2000 – Direitos étnicos e territorialidade: conflito e divergência entre 'indianidades' e 'negritudes' no Brasil e na Colômbia. *Horizontes Antropológicos* v. 6 n. 14: 93-124.

2004 – Etnias Federais. O Processo de Identificação entre Remanescentes Indígenas e Quilombolas do Baixo São Francisco. Rio de Janeiro: Garamond.

Asad, Talal (ed.)

1973 - Anthropology & the Colonial Encounter. London: Ithaca.

Azevedo, Tales

1994 - *Os Italianos no Rio Grande do Sul*. Caxias do Sul: Editora Univ. Caxias do Sul.

Baines, Stephen

1991 - É a Funai Que Sabe. Belém: Museu Emílio Goeldi.

2002 – Estilos de etnologia indígena na Austrália e no Canadá, vistos do Brasil. *Série Antropologia* 315. Brasília: Universidade de Brasília.

Baldus, Herbert

1939 - A necessidade do trabalho indianista. *Revista do Arquivo Municipal* 5 (57): 139-50.

1954 - *Bibliografia Comentada da Etnologia Brasileira*. Rio de Janeiro: Souza.

1970 - *Tapirapé: Tribo Tupi no Brasil Central*. São Paulo: Cia. Editora Nacional.

Barreira, César

1998– Crimes por Encomenda. Violência e Pistolagem no Cenário Brasileiro. Rio de Janeiro: Relume Dumará.

Barreira, Irlys

1998– Chuva de Papéis. Ritos e Símbolos de Campanhas Eleitorais no Brasil. Rio de Janeiro: Relume Dumará.

Barretto Filho, Henyo

1997 - Da nação ao planeta através da natureza. *Série Antro- pologia* 222. Brasília: Universidade de Brasília.

Bastos, Cristiana

1996 - Antropologia da desordem global: notas de uma disciplina em reconfiguração. *Anuário Antropológico/95*: 151-60.

Bastos, Cristiana, M. Vale de Almeida & B. Bianco (eds.)

2002 – *Trânsitos Coloniais*. *Diálogos Críticos Luso-Brasileiros*. Lisboa: Ed. Imprensa de Ciências Sociais.

Becker, Ernest

1971 - The Lost Science of Man. New York: Braziller.

Béteille, A. & T.N. Madan (eds.)

1975 - Encounter and Experience: Personal Accounts of Fieldwork. Delhi: Vikas

Bezerra, Marcos Otávio

1999 - Em Nome das Bases. Política, Favor e Dependência Pessoal. Rio de Janeiro: Relume Dumará.

Bianco, Bela Feldman

1992 - Saudade, imigração e a construção de uma nação desterritorializada. *Revista Brasileira de Estudos de População* 9 (1): 35-49.

1993 - Múltiplas camadas de tempo e espaço: entre imigrantes portugueses. *Rev. Crítica de Ciências Sociais* 38: 193-224.

2001 – Brazilians in Portugal, Portuguese in Brazil: construction of sameness and difference. *Identities* 8 (4): 607-650.

Birman, Patrícia

1995 - *Fazer Estilo Criando Gêneros*. Rio de Janeiro: Relume Dumará.

Bomeny, Helena

2001a – *Os Intelectuais da Educação*. Rio de Janeiro: J. Zahar. 2001b – *Darcy Ribeito. Sociologia de um Indisciplinado*. Belo Horizonte: Editora da UFMG.

Bomeny, Helena, P. Birman & A.L. Paixão (eds.)

1991 - *As Assim Chamadas Ciências Sociais*. Rio de Janeiro: Relume Dumará.

Borges, Antonádia

2004 – Tempo de Brasília. Etnografando Lugares-Eventos da Política. Rio de Janeiro: Relume Dumará.

Borges Pereira, João Batista

1967 - Cor, Profissão e Mobilidade: o Negro e o Rádio de São Paulo. São Paulo: Pioneira.

Bruschini, M. & B. Sorj (eds.)

1994 - Novos Olhares: Mulheres e Relações de Gênero no Brasil. São Paulo: Fund. Carlos Chagas/Marco Zero.

Cabral, João Pina

1996 - A difusão do limiar: margens, hegemonias e contradições na antropologia. *Mana* 2 (1): 25-58.

Caldeira, Teresa

2000 – City of Walls: Crime, Segregation and Citizenship in São Paulo. Berkely: University of California Press.

Candido [Mello e Souza], Antonio

1958 - Informação sobre sociologia em São Paulo. In *Ensaios Paulistas*: 510-21. São Paulo: Anhambi.

Cardoso, Ruth

1995 - Estrutura Familiar e Mobilidade Social: Estudo dos Japoneses no Estado de São Paulo. São Paulo: Primus.

Cardoso de Oliveira, Luís R.

1989 - Fairness and communication in small claims courts.

Ph.D. dissertation. Harvard University.

1996 - Entre o justo e o solidário: os dilemas dos direitos de cidadania no Brasil e nos EUA. *Revista Brasileira de Ciências Sociais* 31: 67-81.

2002 – Direito Legal e Insulto Moral. Dilemas da Cidadania no Brasil, Quebec e Estados Unidos. Rio de Janeiro: Relume Dumará/NuAP.

Cardoso de Oliveira, Roberto

1963 - Aculturação e "fricção" interétnica. *América Latina* 6: 33-45.

1978 - *A Sociologia do Brasil Indígena*. Rio de Janeiro: Tempo Brasileiro.

1995 - Identidade catalã e ideologia étnica. *Mana* 1(1): 9-47.

Cardoso de Oliveira, R. & Guillermo Ruben (eds.)

1995 - Estilos de Antropologia. Campinas: Unicamp.

Carneiro da Cunha, Manuela

1978 - Os Mortos e os Outros. São Paulo: Hucitec.

1993 - O Futuro da Questão Indígena. São Paulo: USP.

Carneiro da Cunha, M. (ed.)

1992 - História dos Índios no Brasil. São Paulo: Cia. das Letras.

Carvalho, José Jorge

1992a - Shango Cult in Recife, Brazil. Caracas: Fundef.

1992b - Mito, música e ritual no culto de Xangô e na tradição erudita ocidental. *Anuário Antropológico*/89: 83-116.

Castro Faria, Luiz

1993 - *Antropologia. Espetáculo e Excelência*. Rio de Janeiro: UFRJ/ Tempo Brasileiro.

2002 – Oliveira Viana: de Saquarema à Alameda São Boaventura, 41, Niterói. Rio de Janeiro: Relume Dumará.

Castro Santos, Luiz A.

2003 – O Pensamento Social no Brasil. Campinas: Edicamp.

Cavalcanti, Maria Laura

1994 - *Carnaval Carioca: dos Bastidores ao Desfile*. Rio de Janeiro: Editora da UFRJ/MinC/Funarte.

Chaves, Christine A.

2000 – *A Marcha Nacional dos Sem-Terra*. Rio de Janeiro: Relume Dumará.

2003 – Festas de Política. Uma Etnografia da Modernidade no Sertão (Buritis, MG). Rio de Janeiro: Relume Dumará.

Comerford, John

1999 – Fazendo a Luta. Sociabilidade, Falas e Rituais na Construção de Organizações Camponesas. Rio de Janeiro: Relume Dumará

2003 – Como uma Família. Sociabilidade, Territórios de Parentesco e Sindalismo Rural. Rio de Janeiro: Relume Dumará.

Copet-Rougier, E. & F. Héritier-Augé

1993 - Commentaires sur commentaire. Réponse à E. Viveiros de Castro. *L'Homme* 33: 139-48.

Corrêa, Mariza

- 1982 *As ilusões da liberdade. A escola de Nina Rodrigues.*Doctoral dissertation, Univ. São Paulo.
- 1995 Damas & cavalheiros de fina estampa. In *O Ensino da Antropologia no Brasil*. Rio de Janeiro: ABA.
- 2003 *Antropólogas e Antropologia*. Belo-Horizonte: Editoral UFMG.

DaMatta, Roberto

- 1970 *Apinayé social structure*. Ph.D. dissertation, Harvard University.
- 1973a Ensaios de Antropologia Estrutural. Petrópolis: Vozes.
- 1973b O ofício de etnólogo ou como ter "anthropological blues". *Comunicações do PPGAS* 1. Rio de Janeiro: MN/UFRJ.
- 1976 Um Mundo Dividido:: a Estrutura Social dos Índios Apinayé. Petrópolis: Vozes.
- 1980 Carnavais, Malandros e Heróis. Rio de Janeiro: Zahar.
- 1984 O Que Faz o Brasil, Brasil? Rio de Janeiro: Guanabara.
- 1985 A Casa e a Rua. Rio de Janeiro: Editora Brasiliense.
- 1991 *Carnivals, Rogues, and Heroes*. Notre Dame: Univ. of Notre Dame Press.

DaMatta, R. & E. Soárez

1999 – Águias, Burros e Borboletas. Um Ewstudo Antropológico do Jogo do Bicho. Rio de Janeiro: Rocco.

DaMatta, R. & David Hess (eds.)

1995 – *The Brazilian Puzzle*. New York: Columbia University Press.

Das, Veena

1995 - Critical Events: An Anthropological Perspective on Contemporary India. Delhi: Oxford Univ. Press.

Dias, Juliana B.

- 2000 Entre partidas e regressos: tecendo relações familiares em Cabo Verde. M.A. dissertation, Universidade de Brasília.
- 2002 Língua e poder: transcrevendo a questão nacional. *Mana* vol. 8 (1): 7-27.
- 2004 *Mornas e coladeiras de Cabo Verde. Versões musicais de uma nação.* Doctoral dissertation, Universidade de Brasília.

Duarte, Luiz F. Dias

- 1986 *Da Vida Nervosa (nas Classes Trabalhadoras Urbanas).* RJ: J Zahar/CNPq.
- 1989 Freud e a imaginação sociológica moderna. In J. Birman (ed.) *Freud 50 Anos Depois*. Rio de Janeiro: Relume Dumará.
- 1990 A representação do *nervoso* na cultura literária e sociológica. *Anuário Antropológico/87:* 93-116.

- 1995 Formação e ensino na antropologia social: os dilemas da universalização romântica. In *O Ensino da Antropologia*. Rio de Janeiro: ABA.
- 1996 Distanciamento, reflexividade e interiorização da pessoa no ocidente. *Mana* 2 (2): 163-76.
- 2000 Dois regimes históricos das relações da antropologia com a psicanálise no Brasil. In Amarante, Paulo (ed.) *Ensaios: Subjetividade, Saúde Mental, Sociedade*. Rio de Janeiro: Editora Fiocruz, pp. 107-139.

Dumont, L.

1978 – La communauté anthropologique et l'ideologie. L'Homme 18: 83-110.

Eckert, Cornelia

- 1991 *Une ville autrefoi minière: la grand-combe. Étude d'anthropologie sociale.* Doctoral dissertation, Université de Paris V.
- 2003 *O Tempo e a Cidade*. Porto Alegre: IFCH/Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul.

Etnográfica

2000 – Antropologias Brasileiras na Viragem do Milénio (Special issue on anthropology in Brazil) vol. IV (2).

Fahim, H. (ed.)

1982 - *Indigenous Anthropology in Non-Western Countries*. Durham, NC: Carolina Academic Press.

Fausto, Carlos

- 1997 *A dialética da predação e familiarização entre os Parakanã da Amazônia oriental*. Doctoral dissertation, Museu Nacional, Univ. Federal do Rio de Janeiro.
- 2000 Os Índios antes do Brasil. Rio de Janeiro: J. Zahar Editor.
- 2001 Inimigos Fiéis. História, Guerra e Xamanismo na Amazônia. São Paulo: EDUSP.

Fernandes, Florestan

- 1958 O padrão de trabalho científico dos sociólogos brasileiros. *Estudos Sociais e Políticos* 3. Belo-Horizonte: UFMG.
- 1963 *A Organização Social dos Tupinambá*. São Paulo: Difusão Européia do Livro.
- 1970 A Função Social da Guerra na Sociedade Tupinambá. São Paulo: Pioneira.

Figoli, Leonardo

1995 - A antropologia na Argentina e a construção da nação. See Cardoso de Oliveira & Ruben (eds.), pp. 31-64.

Fonseca, Claudia

- 1986 Clochards et dames de charité: une étude de cas parisien. *Ethnologie Française* 16 (4): 391-400.
- 1997 Totens e xamãs na pós-graduação. *Anuário Antropológico/96*: 33-48.
- 2000 Família, Fofoca e Honra. Etnografia de Relações de

Gênero e Violência em Grupos Populares. Porto Alegre: Editora da Universidade.

Fry, Peter

- 1991 Politicamente correto em um lugar, incorreto em outro. *Estudos Afro-Asiáticos* 21: 167-77.
- 1995a Why is Brazil different? *Times Literary Supplement*, Dec. 8, n. 4836: 6-7.
- 1995b Formação ou educação: os dilemas dos antropólogos perante a grade curricular. In *O Ensino da Antropologia no Brasil*. Rio de Janeiro: ABA.
- 1999 Color and the rule of law in Brazil. In J.E. Mendez, G. O'Donnel & P.S. Pinheiro *The (Un)Rule of Law and the Underprivileged in Latin America*. Notre Dame: University of Notre Dame Press, pp. 186-210.
- 2002 Cultures fo difference: the aftermath of Portuguese and British colonial policies in Southern Africa. *Social Anthropology* 8 (2): 117-144.
- In press *Racismo Persistente. Brasil e África Austral.* Rio de Janeiro: Civilização Brasileira.

Gaspar, Maria Dulce

1985 - Garotas de Programa: Prostituição em Copacabana e Identidade Social. Rio de Janeiro: J Zahar.

Geertz, Clifford

1983 - Local Knowledge: Further Essays in Interpretive Anthropology. New York: Basic Books.

Gerholm, T. & U. Hannerz

1982 - Introduction: The shaping of national anthropologies. *Ethnos* 42: 5-35.

Giumbelli, Emerson

2002– O Fim da Religião. Dilemas da Liberdade Religiosa no Brasil e na França. São Paulo: Attar.

Góes Filho, Paulo

2003 – O Clube das Nações. AMissão do Brasil na ONU e o Mundo da Diplomacia Parlamentar. Rio de Janeiro: Relume Dumará.

Goldman, Marcio

1994 - Razão e Diferença: Afetividade, Racionalidade e Relativismo no Pensamento de Lévy-Bruhl. Rio de Janeiro: Grypho.

Gonçalves, Marco Antonio

1993 - O Significado do Nome: Cosmologia e Nominação entre os Piraha. Rio de Janeiro: Sette Letras.

Gregori, M.F.

1993 - Cenas e Queixas: Mulheres, Relações Violentas e Prática Feminista. Rio de Janeiro: Paz e Terra.

Grossi, Miriam

2003- Gênero e parentesco: famílias gays e lésbicas no Brasil. *Cadernos Pagu* 21: 261-280.

Grossi, Miriam & J.M. Pedro (eds.)

1998 - Masculino, Feminino, Plural: Gênero na Interdisciplinaridade. Florianópolis: Ed. Mulheres.

Grynspan, Mario

1994 - *As elites da teoria*. Doctoral dissertation, Museu Nacional/UFRJ.

Guran, Milton

1999 – *Agudás. Os "Brasileiros" em Benin.* Rio de Janeiro: Nova Fronteira.

Heredia, Beatriz A.

1989 – Formas de Dominação e Espaço Social. São Paulo: Marco Zero.

Heredia, Beatriz, C. Teixeira & Irlys Barreira (eds.)

2002– Como se Fazem Eleições no Brasil. Estudos Antropológicos. Rio de Janeiro: Relume Dumará.

Kuper, Adam

1994 - Culture, identity and the project of a cosmopolitan anthropology. *Man* (NS) 29: 537-54.

Kuschnir, Karina

1998 - *Política e sociabilidade. Um estudo de antropologia social.* Doctoral dissertation, Museu Nacional/UFRJ.

2000 – *Eleições e Representação no Rio de Janeiro*. Rio de Janeiro: Relume Dumará.

L'Estoile, B., Neiburg, F. & L. Sigaud (eds.)

2002 – *Antropologia, Impérios e Estados Nacionais*. Rio de Janeiro: Relume Dumará.

Laraia, Roque de Barros

1964 – Review of *A Organização Social dos Tupinambá*, by Florestan Fernandes. *América Latina* 7 (3): 124-5.

1986 - Tupi: Índios do Brasil Atual. São Paulo: FFLCH/USP

1993 - Los Indios de Brasil. Madri: Mapfre.

Laraia, R. & R. DaMatta

1967 - Índios e Castanheiros. São Paulo: Difusão Européia do Livro.

Lea, Vanessa

1992 – Mebengokre (Kayapó) onomastics: a fact of houses as total social facts in Central Brazil. *Man* 27: 129-153.

1995 - The houses of the Mebengokre (Kayapó) of Central Brazil. A new door to their social organization. In J. Carsten & S. Hugh-Jones (eds.) *About the House: Lévi-Strauss and Beyond*. Cambridge Univ. Press.

Leite Lopes, José Sérgio

1976 - O Vapor do Diabo. Rio de Janeiro: Paz e Terra.

2004 – *A Ambientalização dos Conflitos*. Rio de Janeiro: Relume Dumará.

Lévi-Strauss, Claude

1952 - Les structures sociales dans le Brésil central et oriental. In S. Tax (ed.) *Indian Tribes of Aboriginal America*. Chicago: Univ. Chicago Press, pp. 302-310.

1956 - Les organisations dualistes, existent-elles? Bijdragen Tot

- de Taal-, Land- en Volkenkunde 112: 99-128.
- 1960 On manipulated sociological modes. *Bijdragen Tot de Taal-, Land- en Volkenkunde* 116: 45-54.
- 1961 La crise moderne de l'anthropologie. *Le Courrier*, UNESCO, XIV(11): 12-17. (Published in Portuguese in *Revista de Antropologia* 10 (1-2): 19-26.)

Lima, Roberto Kant

- 1985 A Antropologia da Academia: Quando os Índios Somos Nós. Petrópolis: Vozes.
- 1991 Ordem pública e pública desordem: modelos processuais de controle social em uma perspectiva comparada. Anuário Antropológico/88: 21-44.
- 1995a *A Polícia da Cidade do Rio de Janeiro*. Rio de Janeiro: Forense.
- 1995b *Da inquirição ao júri, do trial by jury à plea bargaining*. Full Professorship Thesis, Universidade Federal Fluminense.
- 1995c Bureaucratic rationality in Brazil and in the United Stades: criminal justice system in comparative perspective. In R. DaMatta and David Hess (eds.) *The Brazilian Puzzle*. New York: Columbia University Press, pp. 241-269.

Lima, Tania S.

1995 - *Aparte do cauim. Etnografia Juruna*. Doctoral dissertation, Museu Nacional, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro.

Lins de Barros, Miriam

1989 - Autoridade e Afeto: Avós, Filhos e Netos na Família Brasileira. Rio de Janeiro: J Zahar.

Lins de Barros, Miriam (ed.)

2000 – Velhice ou Terceira Idade: Estudos Antropológicos sobre Identidade, Memória e Política. Rio de Janeiro: Fundação Getúlio Vargas.

Lobo, Andréa Souza

2001 – Seca, chuva e luta. Reconstruindo a paisagem em Cabo Verde. M.A. dissertation, Universidade de Brasília.

Lopes da Silva, Aracy

1986 - Nomes e Amigos: da Prática Xavante a uma Reflexão sobre os Jê. São Paulo: FFLCH/USP.

Madan, T.N.

1982 - Indigenous anthropology in non-western countries: an overview. In Fahim 1982, pp. 263-68.

Magalhães, A. C.

1994 - *Os Parakanã: espaços de socialização e suas articulações simbólicas*. Doctoral dissertation, Universidade de São Paulo.

Maggie, Yvonne

1975 - Guerra de Orixá: Um Estudo de Ritual e Conflito. RJ: Zahar 1992 - Medo do Feitiço: Relações entre Magia e Poder no Brasil. RJ: Arquivo Nacional.

Maggie, Y. & C. B. Rezende (eds.)

2002 – *Raça como Retórica*. *A Construção da Diferença*. Rio de Janeiro: Civilização Brasileira.

Magnani, J.G.

1984 - Festa no Pedaço: Cultura Popular e Lazer na Cidade. São Paulo: Braziliense.

Maio, Marcos Chor (org.)

1996– *Raça, Ciência e Sociedade*. Rio de Janeiro: Editora Fiocruz.

Maybury-Lewis, David

1960 - The analysis of dual organisation: a methodological critique. *Bijdragen Tot de Taal-, Land- en Volkenkunde* 116: 17-44.

1967 - Akwë-Shavante Society. Oxford: Oxford Univ. Press.

1979a - *Indigenous Peoples, Ethnic Groups, and the State*. Boston: Allyn & Bacon.

1979b - Dialectical Societies: the Gê and Bororo of Central Brazil. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Melatti, Julio Cezar

1967 - Índios e Criadores: Situação dos Krahó na Área Pastoril do Tocantins. Rio de Janeiro: Inst. Ciências Sociais.

1970a - *O Sistema Social Krahó*. Doctoral dissertation. Univ. of São Paulo.

1970b - Índios do Brasil. Brasília: Coordenada/INL.

1978 - Ritos de uma Tribo Timbira. São Paulo: Ática.

1984 - A antropologia no Brasil: um roteiro. *Boletim Informativo e Bibliográfico de Ciências Sociais - BIB* 17: 3-52.

Menezes Bastos, Rafael

1993 - Esboço de uma teoria da música. *Anuário Antropológico/93*: 9-73.

1995 - Indagação sobre os Kamayurá, o alto-Xingu e outros nomes e coisas: uma etnologia da sociedade xinguara. *Anuário Antropológico/94*: 227-69.

1999 – A Musicológica Kamayurá. Para uma Antropologia da Comunicação no Alto-Xingu. Florianópolis: Editora da UFSC.

Miceli, S. (ed.)

1989 - *História das Ciências Sociais no Brasil* (vol. 1). São Paulo: Vértice.

1995 - *História das Ciências Sociais no Brasil* (vol. 2). São Paulo: Sumaré.

1999 – *O Que Ler na Ciência Social Brasileira (1970-1995)*. São Paulo: Editora Sumaré; Rio de Janeiro: ANPOCS; Brasília: CAPES (3 vols.)

Montero, John

2002 – Raças de gigantes: mestiçagem e mitografia no Brasil e na Índia Portuguesa. In Bastos, C., M.Vale de Almeida &

B. Bianco, op. cit.

Montero, Paula

- 1985 *Da Doença à Desordem. A Magia na Umbanda*. Rio de Janeiro: Graal
- 1995 Tendências da pesquisa antropológica no Brasil. In *O Ensino da Antropologia*. Rio de Janeiro: ABA.
- 1999 Religões e dilemas da sociedade brasileira. In S. Miceli (org.) *O Que Ler na Ciência Social Brasileira (1970-1995)*. Vol. 1. Antropologia. São Paulo: Editora Sumará, pp. 327-367.

Moura, Margarida M.

- 1978 Os Herdeiros da Terra. São Paulo: Hucitec.
- 1988 Os Deserdados da Terra. A Lógica Costumeira e Judicial dos Processos de Expusão e Invasão da Terra Camponesa no Sertão de Minas Gerais. Rio de Janeiro: Bertrand Brasil.

Muller, Regina

1990 - *Os Assurini do Xingu: História e Arte*. Campinas: Unicamp.

Neiburg, Federico

- 1997 *Os Intelectuais e a Invenção do Peronismo*. São Paulo: Edusp
- 2001 Intimidad Social y Esfera Pública. Política y cultura en el espacio nacional argentino, 1946-1955. Ms.

Niemeyer, Ana Maria

1997 - Sociedades tribais, urbanas e camponesas, uma proximidade desejável. *Anuário Antropológico/96*: 49-66.

Nimuendaju, Curt

1946 - The Eastern Timbira. Berkeley: Univ. Calif. Press.

Nogueira, Oracy

1986 - Tanto Preto Quanto Branco. Ensaios de Relações Raciais. São Paulo: T.A. Queiroz.

Oliveira Filho, João Pacheco

- 1977 As facções e a ordem política em uma reserva Tukuna. M.A. dissertation, Univ. Brasília.
- 1988 O Nosso Governo: Os Ticuna e o Regime Tutelar. São Paulo: Marco Zero
- 1998 Uma etnologia dos "índios misturados"? Situação colonial, territorialização e fluxos culturais. *Mana* 4 (1): 47-78.
- 1999a *Ensaios em Antropologia Histórica*. Rio de Janeiro: Editora UFRJ.

Oliveira Filho J.P. (ed.)

- 1987 *Sociedades Indígenas e Indigenismo no Brasil*. Rio de Janeiro: Marco Zero.
- 1999b A Viagem de Volta. Etnicidade, Política e Reelaboração Cultural no Nordeste Indígena. Rio de Janeiro: Contracapa.

Oliven, Ruben

1992 - A Parte e o Todo: a Diversidade Cultural no Brasilnação. Petrópolis: Vozes.

1999 – Nación y Modernidad: La Reinvención de la Identidad Gaúcha en el Brasil. Buenos Aires: Eudeba.

Palmeira, Moacir

1977 - Emprego e mudança sócio-econômica no nordeste. *Anuário Antropológico/76*: 201-38.

Palmeira, M. & B. Heredia

1995– Os comícios e a política de facções. *Anuário Antropológico/94*: 31-94.

Palmeira, M. (ed.)

1995 - *Política e Relações Pessoais. Comunicações do PPGAS* 5. Rio de Janeiro: Museu Nacional/UFRJ.

Palmeira, M. & C. Barreira (eds.)

2005– *Antropologia no Brasil. Visões de Antropólogos*. Rio de Janeiro: Relume Dumará.

Palmeira, M. & M. Goldman (eds.)

1996 - *Antropologia, Voto e Representação*. Rio de Janeiro: Contracapa.

Peirano, Mariza

1981 - *An anthropology of anthropology. The Brazilian case.* Ph.D. dissertation, Harvard University.

1991 - For a sociology of India: some comments from Brazil. *Contributions to Indian Sociology* 25 (2): 321-7.

1992 - *Uma Antropologia no Plural. Três Experiências Contemporâneas.* Brasília: Editora da UnB.

1997 - Onde está a antropologia? *Mana* 3 (2): 67-102.

1998 - When anthropology is at home. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 27: 105-28.

Peixoto, Fernanda

1998 - Lévi-Strauss no Brasil: a formação do etnólogo. *Mana* 4 (1): 79-107.

2000 – Freyre e Bastide. Os Dois Lados da Luneta. São Paulo: Fundação Memorial da América Latina.

Peixoto, F. & L. Schwarcz (orgs.)

2002– *Guia Bibliográficos dos Brasilianistas*. São Paulo: Editora Sumaré.

Peixoto, F., H. Pontes & L. Schwarcz (orgs.)

2004– *Antropologias, Histórias, Experiências*. Belo Horizonte: Editora UFMG.

Perlongher, Nestor

1987 – O Negócio do Michê. Prostituição Viril em São Paulo. São Paulo: Editora Brasiliense.

Pinto, Paulo Hilu

2002 – Mystical bodies: ritual, experience and the embodiment of Suffism in Syria. Ph.D. dissertation, Boston University.

Pontes, Heloísa

1998 - Destinos Mistos. Os Críticos do Grupo Clima em São Paulo, 1940-68. São Paulo: Cia. das Letras.

2001 – 'Retratos do Brasil': editores e "coleções brasilianas" nas décadas de 1930, 40 e 50. In S. Miceli (ed.) *História das Ciências Sociais no Brasil*. Vol. 1. São Paulo: Editora Sumaré, pp. 419-476.

Pessanha, E. & G. Villas Boas (eds.)

1995 - Ciências Sociais. Ensino e Pesquisa na Graduação. Rio de Janeiro: JC Editora.

Queiroz, Renato

1995 - O Caminho do Paraíso: O Surto Messiânico-Milenarista do Catulé. São Paulo: Univ. de São Paulo.

Ramos, Alcida

1972– *The social system of the Sanumá of Northern Brazil.* Ph.D. dissertation, Univ. Wisconsin.

1978 - Tecnonímia e conceitualização social entre os índios Sanumá. *Anuário Antropológico*/77: 148-67.

1979 - Rumor: the ideology of an inter-tribal situation. *Antropológica* 51: 3-25.

1995 - Sanumá Memories: Yanomami Ethnography in Times of Crisis. Madison: Univ. of Wisconsin Press.

1998 - *Indigenism: Ethnic Politics in Brazil*. Madison: Univ. of Wisconsin Press.

Rego, Maria S.

2001 – *Re-inventing Cape Verde*. Ph.D. dissertation, University of California, San Diego.

Ribeiro, D.

1957 – Culturas e línguas indígenas do Brasil. *Educação e Ciências Sociais* 2: 5-100.

1962 – *A Política Indigenista Brasileira*. Rio de Janeiro: Ministério da Agricultura.

Ribeiro, D. & B. Ribeiro

1957 - Arte Plumária dos Índios Kaapor. Rio de Janeiro: Seikel.

Ribeiro, F. R.

1994 - A construção da nação na África do Sul. *Série Estudos Ciências Sociais* 3. Rio de Janeiro: Univ. Federal do Rio de Janeiro.

Ribeiro, G.

1991 - Empresas Transnacionais: Um Grande Projeto por Dentro. São Paulo: Marco Zero/Anpocs.

1994 – *Transnational Capitalism and Hydropolitics in Argentina*. Gainsville: University Press of Florida.

2000 – Lo que hace al Brasil, Brazil. Rituales identitarios en San Francisco. *Revista Colombiana de Antropologia* 36: 84-111.

Ribeiro, G. & A. Figeiro

2002 – Argentinos e Brasileiros. Encontros, Imagens e Estereótipos. Petrópolis: Ed. Vozes.

Ribeiro, René

1978 - *Cultos Afro-Brasileiros do Recife*. Recife: Instituto Joaquim Nabuco.

Ruben, Guillermo R.

1995 - O "tio materno" e a antropologia quebequense. Ver Cardoso de Oliveira & Ruben (eds.), pp. 121-138.

Saberwal, S.

1982 – Uncertain transplants: anthropology and sociology in India. *Ethnos* 42 (1-2): 36-49.

Sanchis, Pierre

1983 - *Arraial, Festa de um Povo: As Romarias Portuguesas.* Lisboa: Publicações Dom Quixote.

1995 - Uma leitura sobre "O Ensino da Antropologia em Questão". In *O Ensino da Antropologia*. Rio de Janeiro: ABA.

Santos, Silvio C.

1989 - *Os Povos Indígenas e a Constituinte*. Florianópolis: Editora da UFSC

Schaden, Egon

1954a - *Aspectos Fundamentais da Cultura Guarani*. São Paulo: Difusão Européia do Livro.

1954b - O estudo do índio brasileiro ontem e hoje. *América Indígena* 14 (3): 233-252.

1955 - Karl von den Steinen e a etnologia brasileira. Proceedings of the 31st International Congress of Americanists, pp. 1153-1163.

Schwarcz, Lilia

1996 - O Espetáculo das Raças: Cientistas, Instituições e Questão Racial no Brasil. São Paulo: Cia. das Letras.

1999 - Questão Racial e Etnicidade. *In O Que Ler na Ciência Social Brasileira (1970-1995)*, vol. 1. Antropologia. S. Miceli, ed. Pp. 267-327. São Paulo: Editora Sumaré.

2001 - Racismo no Brasil. São Paulo: Publifolha.

Scott, Russel Parry

1991 – Between captivity and the middle of the world.

Household organization and migration among rural workers. Austin: University Microfilms.

1992 - O dia do pagamento e o fim de semana: o salário e a transformação dos rituais anuais de conflito na plantation. *Anuário Antropológico/89*: 117-30.

Seeger, Anthony

1980 - Os Índios e Nós. Estudos sobre Sociedades Tribais Brasileiras. Rio de Janeiro: Campus.

1981 - *Nature and Society in Central Brazil*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Univ. Press.

1987 – Why Suyá Sing: A Musical Anthropology of an Amazonial People. Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press.

Segato, Rita

1986 - Inventando a natureza: família, sexo e gênero no Xangô do Recife. *Anuário Antropológico/85*: 11-54.

Seyferth, Giralda

1985 - Herança e estrutura familiar camponesa. Bol. do Museu

Nacional 52.

1990 - Imigração e Cultura no Brasil. Brasília: Editora da UnB.

1999 - Etnografia de um sistema lógico: a lavoura camponesa dos sitiantes de Sergipe. *Anuário Antropológico/97*.

2000 – *Racismo no Brasil*. São Paulo: Editora Fundação Petrópolis.

2002 – A singularidade germânica e o nacionalismo brasileiro. In Bastos, C., M. Vale de Almeida & B. Bianco, op. cit.

Sigaud, Lygia

1980 - A nação dos homens. Anuário Antropológico/78: 13-114.

Silva, José Maria da

2001 - *O espetáculo do boi-bumbá: Folclore, turismo e as múltiplas alteridades em Parintins.* Doctoral dissertation, Universidade de Brasília.

Souza Lima, Antonio Carlos

1995 - Um Grande Cerco de Paz. Petrópolis: Vozes.

2003 – O indigenismo no Brasil: migração e reapropriações de um saber administrativo. In L'Estoile et. al., op.cit. pp. 159-186.

Souza Lima, Antonio Carlos (ed.)

2002 – Gestar e Gerir. Estudos para uma Antropologia da Administração Pública no Brasil. Rio de Janeiro: Relume Dumará/NuAP.

Souza Lima, A. C. & Maria Barroso-Hoffoman (eds.)

2002 – Além da Tutela. Basea para uma Nova Política Indigenista. Rio de Janeiro: Contracapa. (3 volumes).

Srinivas, M.N.

1966 - Some thoughts on the study of one's own society. In *Social Change in Modern India*. New Delhi: Allied, pp. 147-163.

Srinivas, M.N. (ed.)

1979 - *The Fieldworker and the Field*. Oxford: Oxford Univ. Press

Teixeira, Carla Costa

1998 - A Honra da Política. Decoro Parlamentar e Cassação de Mandato no Congresso Nacional 1949-1994. Rio de Janeiro: Relume Dumará.

Teixeira Pinto, Marnio

1993 - Relações de substância e classificação social: alguns aspectos da organização social arara. *Anuário Antropológico/90*: 169-204.

1997 - Ieipari: Sacrifício e Vida Social entre os Índios Arara (Caribe). São Paulo: Hucitec.

Thomaz, Omar R.

2001– 'O bom povo português': usos e costumes d'aquém e d'além mar. *Mana* 7(1): 55-88.

2002 – Ecos do Atlântico Sul. Representações sobre o Terceiro Império Português. Rio de Janeiro: EdURFJ/FAPESP.

Trajano Filho, Wilson

- 1993a O auto do carnaval em São Tomé e Príncipe. *Anuário Antropológico/91*.
- 1993b A tensão entre a escrita e a oralidade na Guiné-Bissau. *Soronda* 16.
- 1998 Polymorphic creoledom: the "creole society" of Guinea-Bissau. Ph.D. dissertation, Univ. of Pennsylvania.
- 2003– Pequenos mas honrados: um jeito português de ser na metrópole e nas colônias. *Série Antropologia* n. 339, Universidade de Brasília.

Travassos, Elizabeth

1997 - Os Mandarins Milagrosos. Arte e Etnografia em Mário de Andrade e Béla Bartók. Rio de Janeiro: J Zahar.

Velho, Gilberto

- 1973 A Utopia Urbana: Um Estudo de Antropologia Social. Rio de Janeiro: J Zahar.
- 1978 Observando o familiar. In E. Nunes (ed.), *A Aventura Sociológica*, Rio de Janeiro: Zahar, pp. 36-46.
- 1981 Individualismo e Cultura. Rio de Janeiro: Zahar.
- 1986 Subjetividade e Sociedade: Uma Experiência de Geração. Rio de Janeiro: J. Zahar.
- 1994 *Projeto e Metamorfose. Antropologia das Sociedades Complexas.* Rio de Janeiro: J. Zahar.

Velho, G. (ed.)

- 1995 *Quatro Viagens: Antropólogos Brasileiros no Exterior*. Comunicações do PPGAS 6. Rio de Janeiro: Museu Nacional/UFRJ.
- 1999 Antropologia Urbana. Cultura e Sociedade no Brasil e em Portugal. Rio de Janeiro: J. Zahar.
- Velho, G. & M. Alvito (eds.)

1996 - Cidadania e Violência. Editora FGV/UFRJ.

Velho, G. & K. Kuschnir (eds.)

2003 – Pesquisas Urbanas. Desafios do Trabalho Antropológico. J. Zahar.

Velho, Otávio

- 1972 *Frentes de Expansão e Estrutura Agrária*. Rio de Janeiro: Zahar
- 1976 *Capitalismo Autoritário e Campesinato*. São Paulo: Difel.
- 1982 Through Althusserian spectacles: recent social anthropology in Brazil. *Ethnos* 47 (1-2): 133-49.
- 1995 *Besta-Fera. Recriação do Mundo*. Rio de Janeiro: Relume Dumará.

Vianna, Hermano

1999 – *The Mystery of Samba. Popular Music and National Identity in* Brazil (trans. John Charles Chasteen). Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press.

Vidal, Lux

1977 - Morte e Vida de uma Sociedade Indígena Brasileira: os Kayapo-Xikrin do Rio Catete. São Paulo: Hucitec.

Vilhena, Luís Rodolfo

1997 - *Projeto e Missão: O Movimento Folclórico Brasileiro* (1947-1964). Rio de Janeiro: Funarte/Fundação Getúlio Vargas.

Villaça, A.

1992 - Comendo como Gente: Formas do Canibalismo Wari. Rio de Janeiro: UFRJ.

Viveiros de Castro, Eduardo

1986 - *Araweté: Os Deuses Canibais*. Rio de Janeiro: Zahar/Anpocs.

1992 - From the Enemy's Point of View. Humanity and Divinity in an Amazonian Society. Chicago: Univ. Chicago Press.

1993 - Structures, régimes, stratégies. L'Homme 133: 117-37.

1994 - Une mauvaise querelle. *L'Homme* 34: 181-91.

1995b - Pensando o parentesco ameríndio. In Viveiros de Castro 1995a, pp. 7-24.

1995c - Sobre a antropologia hoje: te(i)mas para discussão. In *O Ensino da Antropologia*. Rio de Janeiro: ABA.

1998 – Dravidian and related kinship systems. In T. Trautmann, M. Godelier & F. Tjon Sie Fat (eds), *Transformations of kinship*. Washington D.C. Smithsonian Institution, pp. 332-285.

2001 – GUT feelings about Amazonia: potential affinity and the construction of sociality. In L. Rival, N. Whitehead (eds), *Beyond the visible and the material: the amerindianization of society in the work of Peter Rivière*. Oxford Univ. Press, pp. 19-43.

2002 – *A Inconstância da Alma Selvagem*. São Paulo: Cosac & Naify.

2003 – And. *Manchester Papers in Social Anthropology* 7. Univ. of Manchester.

Viveiros de Castro, E. (ed.)

1995a - *Antropologia do Parentesco: Estudos Ameríndios*. Rio de Janeiro: UFRJ.

Viveiros de Castro, E. & C. Fausto

1993 - Puissance et l'acte: la parenté dans les basses terres d'Amerique du Sud. *L'Homme* 33 (2-4): 141-70.

Wagley, Charles

1977 - Welcome of Tears: the Tapirape Indians of Central Brazil. Oxford Univ. Press.

Wagley, C. & E. Galvão

1949 - The Tenetehara Indians of Brazil: A Culture in Transition. New York: Columbia Univ. Press.

Woortmann, Ellen

1995 - Herdeiros, Parentes e Compadres. São Paulo: Hucitec.

Woortmann, Klaas

1990 - Com parente não se neguceia: o campesinato como ordem moral. *Anuário Antropológico/87*: 11-76.

1997 - Sobre a formação de antropólogos. Anuário Antropo-

lógico/96: 9-31.

Zaluar, Alba

- 1985 A Máquina e a Revolta. As Organizações Populares e o Significado da Porbreza. São Paulo: Braziliense.
- 1993 Relativismo cultural na cidade? *Anuário Antropológico/90*: 137-56.
- 1999 Violência e crime. In S. Miceli (org.) *O Que Ler na Ciência Social Brasileira (1970-1995)*. Vol. 1. Antropologia. São Paulo: Editora Sumaré, pp. 13-107.

Zaluar, A. (ed.)

1994- *Drogas e Cidadania. Repressão ou Redução de Risco.* São Paulo: Brasiliense.

Zaluar, Alba & N. V. Oliveira (eds.)

2002 – Insegurança Pública. Reflexões sobre a Criminalidade e a Violência Urbana. São Paulo: Instituto Braudel.